



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 6, June 2025

⊕ www.ijirset.com ⊠ ijirset@gmail.com 🖄 +91-9940572462 🕓 +91 63819 07438



International Journal of Innovative Research of Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)



www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 6, June 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1406234|

Quantifying Adaptability: A Framework to Measure Individual Employee Capacity for Change in Organizations

Sandeep Divakar

Independent Researcher, Bangalore, India

ABSTRACT: Organizational change is constant and inevitable, yet individual responses to change vary significantly. This paper proposes a framework for measuring an individual employee's capacity for change, addressing the need to identify, assess, and support employees through transformation. We introduce the IC² Model, focusing on three core dimensions—Cognitive Readiness, Behavioural Flexibility, and Emotional Resilience—to offer a practical, scalable tool for HR and change leaders. The paper also presents a survey-based approach for implementation and discusses real-world applications in workforce planning, training, and change management strategies.

KEYWORDS: Cross-Functional Collaboration, Collaboration Scorecard, Organizational Effectiveness, Interdepartmental Alignment, Collaboration Metrics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern organizations are complex systems where no function can operate effectively in isolation. Business outcomes increasingly depend on seamless collaboration between departments with diverse priorities, skill sets, and mandates. Human Resources drives talent and culture; Finance ensures fiscal control; IT provides digital infrastructure; and Operations delivers on-the-ground execution. Despite their shared stake in success, these departments often operate in silos, leading to misalignment, friction, and inefficiencies.

This paper seeks to fill that gap by proposing a quantifiable approach to assess the collaborative capacity of different functional groups. By introducing a scorecard-based framework that evaluates communication patterns, trust levels, shared goals, and problem-solving efficiency, this study enables leaders to identify strong and weak interdepartmental relationships.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Previous studies on collaboration have largely focused on cultural, leadership, and project-based dimensions. Models such as Tuckman's team development stages, Lencioni's five dysfunctions of a team, and cross-functional maturity assessments offer foundational insights but lack quantifiable measurement tools.

Emerging literature emphasizes trust, communication, shared goals, and mutual accountability as pillars of effective collaboration. However, there is limited empirical work offering a scalable, department-level scoring mechanism. This paper contributes to filling that gap.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study applies a mixed-methods approach to assess interdepartmental collaboration:

- Groups Studied: HR, Finance, IT, Operations, Sales, Procurement
- **Data Collection**: Survey + lightweight interview; Likert scale for six dimensions



International Journal of Innovative Research of Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)



www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 6, June 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1406234|

• Dimensions Measured:

- 1. Communication Quality
- 2. Goal Alignment
- 3. Mutual Trust
- 4. Decision-Making Efficiency
- 5. Role Clarity
- 6. Satisfaction & Ease of Collaboration

Each department pairing is scored based on survey results, normalized to create a 0-100 Collaboration Index. Higher scores indicate better collaborative alignment and efficiency.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A simulated pilot was conducted within a 500-employee organization. Key findings:

- Strong Pairings: HR–IT (Score: 73), Finance–Operations (Score: 75)
- Weaker Links: Procurement–IT (Score: 58), HR–Procurement (Score: 61)



Fig. 1. Simulated Heatmap of Collaboration Scores



Fig. 2. Radar Chart for HR Department's Cross-Functional Profile

These results illustrate the power of the scorecard in detecting blind spots that informal feedback often misses.



International Journal of Innovative Research of Science, Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)



www.ijirset.com |A Monthly, Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal| e-ISSN: 2319-8753| p-ISSN: 2347-6710|

Volume 14, Issue 6, June 2025

|DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1406234|

V. CONCLUSION

Cross-functional collaboration is essential to enterprise success but often poorly understood or managed. The Collaboration Scorecard developed in this study offers a practical, scalable solution to quantify and improve collaboration across departments. Future research could extend this to multi-organizational contexts or tie collaboration scores directly to business KPIs.

REFERENCES

- B. W. Tuckman, "Developmental sequence in small groups," Psychological Bulletin, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 384–399, 1965.
- [2] P. Lencioni, The Five Dysfunctions of a Team: A Leadership Fable. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2002.
- [3] S. A. Mohrman, S. G. Cohen, and A. M. Mohrman Jr., Designing Team-Based Organizations: New Forms for Knowledge Work. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 1995.
- [4] A. C. Edmondson, "Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams," Administrative Science Quarterly, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 350–383, 1999.
- [5] J. H. Gittell, The Southwest Airlines Way: Using the Power of Relationships to Achieve High Performance. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2002.
- [6] R. Reagans and E. W. Zuckerman, "Networks, diversity, and productivity: The social capital of corporate R&D teams," Organization Science, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 502–517, 2001.
- [7] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press, 1996.
- [8] E. H. Schein, Organizational Culture and Leadership, 4th ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2010.
- [9] L. Gratton and T. J. Erickson, "Eight ways to build collaborative teams," Harvard Business Review, vol. 85, no. 11, pp. 100–109, 2007.
- [10] E. Salas, D. E. Sims, and C. S. Burke, "Is there a 'Big Five' in teamwork?" Small Group Research, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 555–599, 2005.
- [11] M. Hoegl and H. G. Gemuenden, "Teamwork quality and the success of innovative projects: A theoretical concept and empirical evidence," Organization Science, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 435–449, 2001.
- [12] J. R. Hackman, Leading Teams: Setting the Stage for Great Performances. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press, 2002.M. Bertalmio, G. Sapiro, V. Caselles, and C. Ballester, "Image inpainting", in Proc. SIGGRAPH, pp. 417– 424, 2000.









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com